

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,383	06/27/2005	Ulrik Darling Larsen	ALB.018	5689	
20987 VOLENTINE	7590 02/03/2009 & WHITT PLLC	•	EXAM	UNER	
ONE FREEDOM SQUARE			FRITCHMAN, REBECCA M		
RESTON, VA	OM DRIVE SUITE 126 20190	0	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			1797		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/03/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/517,383
 LARSEN ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 REBECCA FRITCHMAN
 1797

	REBECCA FRITCHMAN	1797					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):						
(1) <u>REBECCA FRITCHMAN</u> .	(3) <u>Andrew Telesv</u> .						
(2) <u>Jill Waren (SPE)</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 27 January 2009.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	•]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: 22-46.							
Identification of prior art discussed: Oberhardt, Stave.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) ${\color{orange} \boxtimes}$ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the references indicating that none are chips containing a chamber having a living agent. Applicant also pointed out that none of the references teach a dual flow embodiment as claimed in claim 35. An agreement was reached to drop the existing rejection in Office Action dated 08/19/2008 and update the existing search upon submission of applicant's response. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
	/Jill Warden/						

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797